

Critical Closing Pressure of Cerebral Circulation at Concomitant Moderate-to-Severe Traumatic Brain Injury

Kseniia A. Trofimova, Darya I. Agarkova,
Alex O. Trofimov, Cyril Lidji-Goryaev,
Oxana Semyachkina-Glushkovskaya,
Andrew Y. Abashkin, and Denis E. Bragin

Abstract

Background: Critical closing pressure (CrCP) is the pressure below which local pial blood pressure is inadequate to prevent blood flow cessation. The state of cerebral CrCP in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury (cTBI) after brain lesions surgery remains poorly understood.

Aim: The aim of our study was to establish the dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients with polytrauma. **Material and methods:** Results of the treatment of 70 patients with moderate-to-severe cTBI were studied (Male: Female – 39:31, mean age -33.2 ± 12.2 years). Depending on intracranial surgery, patients were divided into 2 groups. All patients were subjected to transcranial Doppler of both middle cerebral arteries, and evaluation of mean arterial pressure (MAP). Based on the data obtained, CrCPs were calculated. Significance was preset to $P < 0.05$. **Results:** Mean CrCP values in each group were significantly higher than a reference range ($p < 0.01$). There was no significant difference in CrCP values between the left and right hemispheres in the group 1 ($p = 0.789$). In the group 2, mean CrCP values on the unoperated side remained significantly lower than on the operated side ($p = 0.000011$) even after intracranial surgery. In group 1, mean CrCP values were significantly lower than on the surgery side in the group 1 ($Z = 3.4$; $p = 0.043$). **Conclusion:** CrCP values in concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI after removing brain lesions and without surgery were significantly higher than referral data. Even after removal of brain

K. A. Trofimova (✉) · D. I. Agarkova ·
C. Lidji-Goryaev
Department of Neurological Diseases, Privolzhsky
Research Medical University,
Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

A. O. Trofimov
Department of Neurological Diseases, Privolzhsky
Research Medical University,
Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

Department of Physiology, Saratov State University,
Saratov, Russia

O. Semyachkina-Glushkovskaya
Department of Physiology, Saratov State University,
Saratov, Russia

A. Y. Abashkin
Department of Trauma, BSMP, Dzerzhinsk, Russia

D. E. Bragin
Lovelace Biomedical Research Institute,
Albuquerque, NM, USA

Department of Neurology, University of New Mexico
School of Medicine, Albuquerque, NM, USA

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

F. Scholkmann et al. (eds.), *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XLIII*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1395, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14190-4_27

lesions volumes in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI, CrCP values on the surgery side remained markedly higher than on the side opposite to the removed lesion volumes.

Keywords

Intracranial hematoma · Intracranial pressure (ICP) · Cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) · Microvascular perfusion

1 Introduction

According to current guidelines, based on a large body of research, adequate microvasculature perfusion maintenance is an essential component of intensive therapy of head injury. However, cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) stabilisation at severe multiple trauma and shock, as caused by road traffic accidents, often resembles a Sisyphean task.

CPP can be calculated as a difference between mean arterial pressure (MAP) and brain parenchymal pressure, which is usually considered equal to intracranial pressure (ICP) [1].

Furthermore, ICP gradients development in the injured brain can seriously complicate the calculation of local CPP, which was confirmed by Sun et al. [2].

According to Kaczmarska et al., cerebral microvascular perfusion might be more accurately described by the closure margin, or 'effective' perfusion pressure, defined as the difference between MAP and the pressure below which arterial and arteriolar vessels collapse and local blood flow ceases [3].

This parameter has been defined as zero flow pressure or critical closing pressure (CrCP) [4]. It is believed that when arterial blood pressure reaches the CrCP value, the pulse fluctuations smooth out, followed by an avalanche-like collapse of the microvascular bed [5]. Previously, Richards et al. showed that CrCP is highly correlated with invasively established CPP and ICP values [6].

Therefore, the measurement of CrCP is of practical importance, since it allows a non-invasive assessment of the cerebral perfusion state when invasive monitoring of ICP is difficult or impossible [7].

It should be noted that the state of cerebral CrCP in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI (cTBI) after brain lesions volume surgery remains poorly understood.

The aim of our study was to establish the dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in TBI patients with polytrauma.

2 Methods

This non-randomised single-centre study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Nizhny Novgorod Clinical Hospital named after N.A. Semashko. All patients and their families provided written informed consent. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Table 1.

2.1 Population

The study involved 39 men and 31 women with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI; mean age of 33.2 ± 12.2 years treated at Nizhny Novgorod Regional Trauma Centre Level I of Regional Clinical Hospital named after N.A. Semashko. The recruitment period was from January 2013 to

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
1. Between 16 and 70 years old	1. Less than 16 and more than 70 years old
2. Polytrauma with moderate-to-severe TBI within 3–5 days after a brain injury	2. CT Marshall grade III, VI (any non-evacuated intracranial hematoma, parenchymal volume lesions, etc.)
3. GCS less than 12 on admission	3. Injury severity score less than 9 and more than 36
4. Injury severity score between 9 and 36	4. Any cardiovascular injury/diseases history
5. CT Marshall grades I, II, V	

115 December 2020. All patients were polytrauma-
 116 tised. They all received therapy according to the
 117 Guideline of TBI treatment and Advanced
 118 Trauma Life Support [8]. The patients were
 119 divided into two groups. The first group included
 120 37 TBI patients without volume lesions. The sec-
 121 ond group comprised 33 TBI patients after intra-
 122 cranial surgery within the first 3 days of the
 123 injury. Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) severity was
 124 10.4 ± 1.5 and 9.7 ± 1.7 in the first and second
 125 group, respectively. The injury severity scores
 126 (ISS) were 31 ± 9 and 32 ± 8 in the first and sec-
 127 ond group, respectively. Among 33 patients that
 128 underwent meningeal hematomas surgery, the
 129 epidural hematomas were removed in 7 patients,
 130 subdural hematomas in 32, and multiple hemato-
 131 mas in 4 patients.

132 2.2 Critical Closing Pressure 133 Calculation

134 Cerebral circulation CrCP was calculated using
 135 Eq. 1 proposed by Ogoh [9]:

$$136 \text{CrCP} = \text{ABPs} - \frac{\text{ABPs} - \text{ABPd}}{\text{Vs} - \text{Vd}} \times \text{Vs} \quad (1)$$

137 where ABPd – diastolic arterial pressure (mmHg),
 138 ABPs – systolic arterial pressure (mmHg),
 139 CrCP – critical closing pressure (mmHg), Vd –
 140 diastolic cerebral blood flow velocity (cm/s),
 141 Vs – systolic cerebral blood flow velocity (cm/s).

142 Reference range CrCP was chosen according
 143 to Ogoh S. as 33 ± 2 mmHg.

144 Diastolic and systolic arterial blood pressure
 145 was monitored noninvasively (IntelliView MP5,
 146 Philips Medizin Systeme, Germany). Cerebral
 147 blood flow velocity (CBFV) in both middle cere-
 148 bral arteries (MCA) was bilaterally measured
 149 using ultrasound Doppler with a 2-Mhz probe for
 150 20 minutes (Sonomed 300 M, Spectromed,
 151 Russia) [9]. We used the intensive care monitor
 152 ‘Centaurus-CrCP’ (Ver. 3.0, Privolzhsky
 153 Research Medical University, Russia). PaO₂,
 154 PaCO₂, and core temperature were within normal
 155 ranges. All patients did not require sedation or
 156 pharmacological support of blood pressure and
 157 were normotensive.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

158 Continuous variables are expressed as median
 159 [interquartile range]. The data were evaluated for
 160 normality distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk
 161 test. The differences in CrCP values were
 162 assessed using a nonparametric Sign test. The
 163 significance level was set at 0.05. All analyses
 164 were performed using the software package
 165 Statistica 7.0 (Statsoft Inc., USA).
 166

3 Results

167 Mean CrCP values in each group were signifi-
 168 cantly higher than a reference range ($p < 0.01$).
 169

170 We did not find a significant difference in
 171 CrCP values between the left and right hemi-
 172 spheres in the first group (94 [86;107] mmHg vs.
 173 94 [87;108] mmHg, respectively, $Z = -0.267$;
 174 $p = 0.789$).

175 In the second group, mean CrCP values on the
 176 unoperated side (‘non-surgery’ side) remained
 177 significantly lower than on the operated side
 178 (‘surgery’ side) (91 [85;110] mmHg vs. 87
 179 [78;110] mmHg, respectively, $Z = 4.4$;
 180 $p = 0.000011$) even after intracranial surgery.

181 There was no statistically significant differ-
 182 ence between mean CrCP on the ‘non-surgery’
 183 side compared to mean CrCP values in the first
 184 group ($Z = 0.182$; $p = 0.855$).

185 In addition, in the first group, mean CrCP val-
 186 ues were significantly lower than on the surgery
 187 side in the second group ($Z = 3.4$; $p = 0.043$).

188 CrCP values in the ‘surgery’ side at various
 189 types of removed intracranial volume lesions
 190 showed no significant differences ($p > 0.05$).

191 Also, we did not find significant effects of
 192 patient age on CrCP value ($p > 0.05$).

4 Discussion

193 For the first time, a theoretical model that
 194 describes the collapse of small vessels with a
 195 regional arterial fall was proposed by Burton in
 196 1951 [4].
 197

198 It has been noted that a drop in CrCP by half
199 leads to perfusion collapse in half of the total
200 number of capillaries proportionally. Thus, the
201 importance of CrCP is evident [11, 12].

202 The aim of our study was to establish the
203 dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in
204 TBI patients with polytrauma.

205 We have shown that CrCP values were signifi-
206 cantly higher than normal in all concomitant
207 moderate-to-severe TBI patients.

208 We assume that one of the possible reasons
209 may be a decrease in the catecholamines concen-
210 tration due to shock relief in the subacute stage of
211 polytrauma, which leads to cerebral vasodilation.
212 This CrCP rise is confirmed by previous studies
213 [7].

214 Another possible reason might be related to
215 the increase of pleural cavities pressure due to the
216 lung contusion, haemo- or pneumothorax, which
217 was found in our study in all patients, and is con-
218 sistent with previous research [13].

219 We have shown that CrCP significantly differs
220 in TBI patients after intracranial surgery.

221 Significant CrCP increase ($p = 0.00032$) on
222 the side of surgical intervention apparently has
223 several reasons. We suggest that cerebral micro-
224 vascular vasospasm or microthrombosis in the
225 craniectomy area is the most possible reason [5].
226 Although evident signs of ‘dopplerographic’
227 vasospasm were found in only 27 patients
228 (81.2%), the putative CBF turbulence caused by
229 proximal vasospasm may lead to an underestima-
230 tion of its frequency [14].

231 Postoperative CT scans did not reveal any vol-
232 ume brain lesion, which reflects the ICP constancy
233 as well the absence of any ICP gradients
234 [2, 15]. Therefore, CrCP increase probably
235 relates to vascular wall tone increase, which was
236 reported in previous research [13, 15].

237 5 Conclusion

238 CrCP values in concomitant moderate-to-severe
239 TBI after removal volume lesions and without
240 surgery were significantly higher than referral
241 data.

242 Even after removing volume brain lesions in
243 the patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe
244 TBI, CrCP values on the surgery side remained
245 significantly higher than the side opposite to
246 where volume lesions were removed.

Acknowledgments KT and AT were supported by a
247 Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research from the
248 Privozhzsky Research Medical University. OSG was sup-
249 ported by RSF 20-15-00090, RSF 19-15-00201, grant
250 075-15-2019-1885; DB was supported by NIH R01
251 NS112808. 252

253 References

- 254 1. Rosner M, Rosner S, Johnson A (1995) Cerebral per-
255 fusion pressure management protocol and clinical
256 results. *J Neurosurg* 83:949–962
- 257 2. Sun G, Fu T, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Chen X, Jin S, Chi F
258 (2021) The rule of brain hematoma pressure gradi-
259 ent and its influence on hypertensive cerebral hem-
260 orrhage operation. *Sci Rep* 11(1):4599. [https://doi.
261 org/10.1038/s41598-021-84108-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84108-w)
- 262 3. Kaczmarek K, Kasprowicz M, Grzanka A (2018)
263 Critical closing pressure during a controlled increase
264 in intracranial pressure. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*
265 126:133–137
- 266 4. Burton A (1951) On the physical equilibrium of small
267 blood vessels. *Am J Phys* 16284:319–329
- 268 5. Soehle M, Czosnyka M, Pickard J (2004) Critical
269 closing pressure in subarachnoid hemorrhage effect of
270 cerebral vasospasm and limitations of a transcranial
271 Doppler-derived estimation. *Stroke* 35:1393–1398
- 272 6. Richards H, Czosnyka M (1999) Assessment of criti-
273 cal closing pressure in the cerebral circulation as a
274 measure of cerebrovascular tone. *Acta Neurochir*
275 141(11):1221–1227
- 276 7. Bouzat P, Sala N, Payen J, Oddo M (2013) Beyond
277 intracranial pressure: optimization of cerebral blood
278 flow, oxygen, and substrate delivery after traumatic
279 brain injury. *Ann Intensive Care* 3(1):1–9
- 280 8. Carney N, Totten A et al (2017) Guidelines for the
281 management of severe traumatic brain injury, 4th ed.
282 *Neurosurgery* 80(1):6–15
- 283 9. Ogoh S, Fisher J, Young CN, Fadel PJ (2011) Impact
284 of age on critical closing pressure of the cerebral
285 circulation during dynamic exercise in humans. *Exp*
286 *Physiol* 96:417–425
- 287 10. Aaslid R (1992) Cerebral hemodynamics, transcranial
288 Doppler. Edited by Newell D, Aaslid R. Raven Press,
289 New York
- 290 11. Kaczmarek K, Uryga A, Placek M et al (2020)
291 Critical closing pressure during experimental intra-
292 cranial hypertension: comparison of three calculation
293 methods. *Neurol Res* 42(5):387–397

294	12. Minhas J, Haunton V, Robinson T (2019) Determining	303
295	differences between critical closing pressure and	304
296	resistance-area product: responses of the healthy	305
297	young and old to hypocapnia. Pflugers Archiv Eur J	306
298	Physiol 471(8):1117–1126	307
299	13. Varsos G, Richards H, Kasproicz M et al (2014)	308
300	Cessation of diastolic cerebral blood flow velocity:	309
301	the role of critical closing pressure. Neurocrit Care	310
302	20:40–48	
	14. O'Brien N, Lovett M, Chung M (2020) Non-invasive	303
	estimation of cerebral perfusion pressure using tran-	304
	scranial Doppler ultrasonography in children with	305
	severe traumatic brain injury. Childs Nerv Syst:1–9	306
	15. Nogueira R, Panera R, Teixeira M (2017) Cerebral	307
	hemodynamic effects of cheyne-stokes respiration	308
	in a patient with stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis	309
	26(5):e80–e82	310

Uncorrected Proof