

Critical Closing Pressure of Cerebral Circulation at Concomitant Moderate-to- Severe Traumatic Brain Injury

Kseniia A. Trofimova, Darya I. Agarkova,
Alex O. Trofimov, Cyril Lidji-Goryaev,
Oxana Semyachkina-Glushkovskaya,
Andrew Y. Abashkin, and Denis E. Bragin

Abstract

Background: Critical closing pressure (CrCP) is the pressure below which local pial blood pressure is inadequate to prevent blood flow cessation. The state of cerebral CrCP in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury (cTBI) after brain lesions surgery remains poorly understood.

Aim: The aim of our study was to establish the dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients with polytrauma. **Material and methods:** Results of the treatment of 70 patients with moderate-to-severe cTBI were studied (Male: Female – 39:31, mean age -33.2 ± 12.2 years). Depending on intracranial surgery, patients were divided into 2 groups. All patients were subjected to transcranial Doppler of both middle cerebral arteries, and evaluation of mean arterial pressure (MAP). Based on the data obtained, CrCPs were calculated. Significance was preset to $P < 0.05$. **Results:** Mean CrCP values in each group were significantly higher than a reference range ($p < 0.01$). There was no significant difference in CrCP values between the left and right hemispheres in the group 1 ($p = 0.789$). In the group 2, mean CrCP values on the unoperated side remained significantly lower than on the operated side ($p = 0.000011$) even after intracranial surgery. In group 1, mean CrCP values were significantly lower than on the surgery side in the group 1 ($Z = 3.4$; $p = 0.043$). **Conclusion:** CrCP values in concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI after removing brain lesions and without surgery were significantly higher than referral data. Even after removal of brain

K. A. Trofimova (✉) · D. I. Agarkova ·
C. Lidji-Goryaev
Department of Neurological Diseases, Privolzhsky
Research Medical University,
Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

A. O. Trofimov
Department of Neurological Diseases, Privolzhsky
Research Medical University,
Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

Department of Physiology, Saratov State University,
Saratov, Russia

O. Semyachkina-Glushkovskaya
Department of Physiology, Saratov State University,
Saratov, Russia

A. Y. Abashkin
Department of Trauma, BSMP, Dzerzhinsk, Russia

D. E. Bragin
Lovelace Biomedical Research Institute,
Albuquerque, NM, USA

Department of Neurology, University of New Mexico
School of Medicine, Albuquerque, NM, USA

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

F. Scholkmann et al. (eds.), *Oxygen Transport to Tissue XLIII*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1395, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14190-4_27

lesions volumes in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI, CrCP values on the surgery side remained markedly higher than on the side opposite to the removed lesion volumes.

Keywords

Intracranial hematoma · Intracranial pressure (ICP) · Cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) · Microvascular perfusion

1 Introduction

According to current guidelines, based on a large body of research, adequate microvasculature perfusion maintenance is an essential component of intensive therapy of head injury. However, cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) stabilisation at severe multiple trauma and shock, as caused by road traffic accidents, often resembles a Sisyphean task.

CPP can be calculated as a difference between mean arterial pressure (MAP) and brain parenchymal pressure, which is usually considered equal to intracranial pressure (ICP) [1].

Furthermore, ICP gradients development in the injured brain can seriously complicate the calculation of local CPP, which was confirmed by Sun et al. [2].

According to Kaczmarek et al., cerebral microvascular perfusion might be more accurately described by the closure margin, or 'effective' perfusion pressure, defined as the difference between MAP and the pressure below which arterial and arteriolar vessels collapse and local blood flow ceases [3].

This parameter has been defined as zero flow pressure or critical closing pressure (CrCP) [4]. It is believed that when arterial blood pressure reaches the CrCP value, the pulse fluctuations smooth out, followed by an avalanche-like collapse of the microvascular bed [5]. Previously, Richards et al. showed that CrCP is highly correlated with invasively established CPP and ICP values [6].

Therefore, the measurement of CrCP is of practical importance, since it allows a non-invasive assessment of the cerebral perfusion state when invasive monitoring of ICP is difficult or impossible [7].

It should be noted that the state of cerebral CrCP in patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI (cTBI) after brain lesions volume surgery remains poorly understood.

The aim of our study was to establish the dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in TBI patients with polytrauma.

2 Methods

This non-randomised single-centre study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Nizhny Novgorod Clinical Hospital named after N.A. Semashko. All patients and their families provided written informed consent. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Table 1.

2.1 Population

The study involved 39 men and 31 women with concomitant moderate-to-severe TBI; mean age of 33.2 ± 12.2 years treated at Nizhny Novgorod Regional Trauma Centre Level I of Regional Clinical Hospital named after N.A. Semashko. The recruitment period was from January 2013 to

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
1. Between 16 and 70 years old	1. Less than 16 and more than 70 years old
2. Polytrauma with moderate-to-severe TBI within 3–5 days after a brain injury	2. CT Marshall grade III, VI (any non-evacuated intracranial hematoma, parenchymal volume lesions, etc.)
3. GCS less than 12 on admission	3. Injury severity score less than 9 and more than 36
4. Injury severity score between 9 and 36	4. Any cardiovascular injury/diseases history
5. CT Marshall grades I, II, V	

December 2020. All patients were polytraumatised. They all received therapy according to the Guideline of TBI treatment and Advanced Trauma Life Support [8]. The patients were divided into two groups. The first group included 37 TBI patients without volume lesions. The second group comprised 33 TBI patients after intracranial surgery within the first 3 days of the injury. Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) severity was 10.4 ± 1.5 and 9.7 ± 1.7 in the first and second group, respectively. The injury severity scores (ISS) were 31 ± 9 and 32 ± 8 in the first and second group, respectively. Among 33 patients that underwent meningeal hematomas surgery, the epidural hematomas were removed in 7 patients, subdural hematomas in 32, and multiple hematomas in 4 patients.

2.2 Critical Closing Pressure Calculation

Cerebral circulation CrCP was calculated using Eq. 1 proposed by Ogoh [9]:

$$\text{CrCP} = \text{ABPs} - \frac{\text{ABPs} - \text{ABPd}}{\text{Vs} - \text{Vd}} \times \text{Vs} \quad (1)$$

where ABPd – diastolic arterial pressure (mmHg), ABPs – systolic arterial pressure (mmHg), CrCP – critical closing pressure (mmHg), Vd – diastolic cerebral blood flow velocity (cm/s), Vs – systolic cerebral blood flow velocity (cm/s).

Reference range CrCP was chosen according to Ogoh S. as 33 ± 2 mmHg.

Diastolic and systolic arterial blood pressure was monitored noninvasively (IntelliView MP5, Philips Medizin Systeme, Germany). Cerebral blood flow velocity (CBFV) in both middle cerebral arteries (MCA) was bilaterally measured using ultrasound Doppler with a 2-Mhz probe for 20 minutes (Sonomed 300 M, Spectromed, Russia) [9]. We used the intensive care monitor ‘Centaurus-CrCP’ (Ver. 3.0, Privolzhsky Research Medical University, Russia). PaO₂, PaCO₂, and core temperature were within normal ranges. All patients did not require sedation or pharmacological support of blood pressure and were normotensive.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as median [interquartile range]. The data were evaluated for normality distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The differences in CrCP values were assessed using a nonparametric Sign test. The significance level was set at 0.05. All analyses were performed using the software package Statistica 7.0 (Statsoft Inc., USA).

3 Results

Mean CrCP values in each group were significantly higher than a reference range ($p < 0.01$).

We did not find a significant difference in CrCP values between the left and right hemispheres in the first group (94 [86;107] mmHg vs. 94 [87;108] mmHg, respectively, $Z = -0.267$; $p = 0.789$).

In the second group, mean CrCP values on the unoperated side (‘non-surgery’ side) remained significantly lower than on the operated side (‘surgery’ side) (91 [85;110] mmHg vs. 87 [78;110] mmHg, respectively, $Z = 4.4$; $p = 0.000011$) even after intracranial surgery.

There was no statistically significant difference between mean CrCP on the ‘non-surgery’ side compared to mean CrCP values in the first group ($Z = 0.182$; $p = 0.855$).

In addition, in the first group, mean CrCP values were significantly lower than on the surgery side in the second group ($Z = 3.4$; $p = 0.043$).

CrCP values in the ‘surgery’ side at various types of removed intracranial volume lesions showed no significant differences ($p > 0.05$).

Also, we did not find significant effects of patient age on CrCP value ($p > 0.05$).

4 Discussion

For the first time, a theoretical model that describes the collapse of small vessels with a regional arterial fall was proposed by Burton in 1951 [4].

198 It has been noted that a drop in CrCP by half
199 leads to perfusion collapse in half of the total
200 number of capillaries proportionally. Thus, the
201 importance of CrCP is evident [11, 12].

202 The aim of our study was to establish the
203 dynamics of CrCP after intracranial surgery in
204 TBI patients with polytrauma.

205 We have shown that CrCP values were signifi-
206 cantly higher than normal in all concomitant
207 moderate-to-severe TBI patients.

208 We assume that one of the possible reasons
209 may be a decrease in the catecholamines concen-
210 tration due to shock relief in the subacute stage of
211 polytrauma, which leads to cerebral vasodilation.
212 This CrCP rise is confirmed by previous studies
213 [7].

214 Another possible reason might be related to
215 the increase of pleural cavities pressure due to the
216 lung contusion, haemo- or pneumothorax, which
217 was found in our study in all patients, and is con-
218 sistent with previous research [13].

219 We have shown that CrCP significantly differs
220 in TBI patients after intracranial surgery.

221 Significant CrCP increase ($p = 0.00032$) on
222 the side of surgical intervention apparently has
223 several reasons. We suggest that cerebral micro-
224 vascular vasospasm or microthrombosis in the
225 craniectomy area is the most possible reason [5].
226 Although evident signs of ‘dopplerographic’
227 vasospasm were found in only 27 patients
228 (81.2%), the putative CBF turbulence caused by
229 proximal vasospasm may lead to an underestima-
230 tion of its frequency [14].

231 Postoperative CT scans did not reveal any vol-
232 ume brain lesion, which reflects the ICP constancy
233 as well the absence of any ICP gradients
234 [2, 15]. Therefore, CrCP increase probably
235 relates to vascular wall tone increase, which was
236 reported in previous research [13, 15].

237 5 Conclusion

238 CrCP values in concomitant moderate-to-severe
239 TBI after removal volume lesions and without
240 surgery were significantly higher than referral
241 data.

Even after removing volume brain lesions in 242
the patients with concomitant moderate-to-severe 243
TBI, CrCP values on the surgery side remained 244
significantly higher than the side opposite to 245
where volume lesions were removed. 246

Acknowledgments KT and AT were supported by a 247
Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research from the 248
Privolzhsky Research Medical University. OSG was sup- 249
ported by RSF 20-15-00090, RSF 19-15-00201, grant 250
075-15-2019-1885; DB was supported by NIH R01 251
NS112808. 252

253 References

1. Rosner M, Rosner S, Johnson A (1995) Cerebral per- 254
fusion pressure management protocol and clinical 255
results. *J Neurosurg* 83:949–962 256
2. Sun G, Fu T, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Chen X, Jin S, Chi F 257
(2021) The rule of brain hematoma pressure gradi- 258
ent and its influence on hypertensive cerebral hem- 259
orrhage operation. *Sci Rep* 11(1):4599. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84108-w) 260
[org/10.1038/s41598-021-84108-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84108-w) 261
3. Kaczmarek K, Kasprowicz M, Grzanka A (2018) 262
Critical closing pressure during a controlled increase 263
in intracranial pressure. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* 264
126:133–137 265
4. Burton A (1951) On the physical equilibrium of small 266
blood vessels. *Am J Phys* 16284:319–329 267
5. Soehle M, Czosnyka M, Pickard J (2004) Critical 268
closing pressure in subarachnoid hemorrhage effect of 269
cerebral vasospasm and limitations of a transcranial 270
Doppler-derived estimation. *Stroke* 35:1393–1398 271
6. Richards H, Czosnyka M (1999) Assessment of critical 272
closing pressure in the cerebral circulation as a 273
measure of cerebrovascular tone. *Acta Neurochir* 274
141(11):1221–1227 275
7. Bouzat P, Sala N, Payen J, Oddo M (2013) Beyond 276
intracranial pressure: optimization of cerebral blood 277
flow, oxygen, and substrate delivery after traumatic 278
brain injury. *Ann Intensive Care* 3(1):1–9 279
8. Carney N, Totten A et al (2017) Guidelines for the 280
management of severe traumatic brain injury, 4th ed. 281
Neurosurgery 80(1):6–15 282
9. Ogoh S, Fisher J, Young CN, Fadel PJ (2011) Impact 283
of age on critical closing pressure of the cerebral 284
circulation during dynamic exercise in humans. *Exp* 285
Physiol 96:417–425 286
10. Aaslid R (1992) Cerebral hemodynamics, transcranial 287
Doppler. Edited by Newell D, Aaslid R. Raven Press, 288
New York 289
11. Kaczmarek K, Uryga A, Placek M et al (2020) 290
Critical closing pressure during experimental intra- 291
cranial hypertension: comparison of three calculation 292
methods. *Neurol Res* 42(5):387–397 293

294	12. Minhas J, Haunton V, Robinson T (2019) Determining	14. O'Brien N, Lovett M, Chung M (2020) Non-invasive	303
295	differences between critical closing pressure and	estimation of cerebral perfusion pressure using tran-	304
296	resistance-area product: responses of the healthy	scranial Doppler ultrasonography in children with	305
297	young and old to hypocapnia. Pflugers Archiv Eur J	severe traumatic brain injury. Childs Nerv Syst:1-9	306
298	Physiol 471(8):1117-1126	15. Nogueira R, Panera R, Teixeira M (2017) Cerebral	307
299	13. Varsos G, Richards H, Kasproicz M et al (2014)	hemodynamic effects of cheyne-stokes respiration	308
300	Cessation of diastolic cerebral blood flow velocity:	in a patient with stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis	309
301	the role of critical closing pressure. Neurocrit Care	26(5):e80-e82	310
302	20:40-48		

Uncorrected Proof